

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

31149

-177207

July 9, 1973

Spaceonice, Inc. Blaugister Road Madison, Alebama 35758

> Attention: Mr. John McGee President

Gentlemen:

Beforence is made to your letter of June 14, 1973, concerning your claim of \$2,411.51 representing "10% of the contract initially involved, Anniston Arry Depot's No. EAAGO2-73-B-0005 (LET), which Enacomics, Zuc., failed to receive wholly because of the recommendation of DCASO, Mintaville," and the expenses incurred by your firm, \$1,500, in reviewing your completed contracts.

The record indicates that, in making the determination that your firm was nonresponsible for purposes of award under IFB -0005, the Annisten Army Depot relied upon the recommendation of DCASO which was based upon incurrect prior performance deta.

While the Federal courts have recognized that bidders are entitled to have their bids considered fairly and bonostly for award, they have also held that any failure of the contracting agency in this regard would give rise to a cause of action by the aggrieved bidder to recover only bid preparation expenses. Further, the courts have held that in the foregoing type of action, arbitrariness or capriciousness must be established as a prerequisite to recovery. Continental Evaluese Enterprines, Inc. v. United States, 452 F.24 1016 (ct. Cl. 19/1). The record does not establish that the standard of administrative misconduct is propent here. Our Office, in the circumstances, could not allow your claim or. for that matter, a claim for bid preparation costs.

Sincerely yours,

Paul G. Dembling

Keling Comptroller Ges of the United States

091363